A Routing and Reservation System for Battery Swaps for Electric Vehicles

> Jonathan Adler and Pitu Mirchandani Arizona State University

ROUTE 2014 Conference, Denmark

Overview

Electric vehicles & Charging Infrastructure

Shortest Walk from O to D

Routing and Reservation System

Electric vehicles

- Growing in popularity: Tesla sold over 22,450 electric cars in 2013
- Have a limited range, which can cause drivers to have anxiety
 Typically charged at home or at the office for long periods of time
 - Charging at origin and destination insufficient for long range trips



Source: Tesla

Charging an electric vehicle mid trip

Fast charging stations

- A station where a car charges its battery quickly to a partially full state
- Still require a half an hour to charge
- Placed on the US Eastern and Western seaboards by Tesla, and can be used for free



- A station where a car swaps an empty battery with a fresh one
- Pioneered by Better Place, declared bankruptcy in May :(
- Expensive since many extra batteries are required to be at the stations
- Tesla has produced a vehicle that can battery swap in 90 seconds





Alternativefuel vehicles

- Several different types of alternative fuels
 - Compressed Natural Gas (CNG)
 - Hydrogen fuel cells
- Specialized fuel requires specialized refueling stations, thus vehicles have similar problems as electric ones
- Toyota is rolling out hydrogen powered cars in California in 2015, CNG vehicles already available





Source: Toyota, Bizjournals.com

Objective

- Optimization problems for design and operation of such vehicles are related to OR-type literature. E.g.,
 - Routing vehicles from origin to destination (OD)
 - Scheduling a fleet of vehicles to service customers
 - Given OD demand, determining how the demand should be distributed along roads or constrained resources
- Major Issue: Electric and alternative-fuel vehicles have a limited distance before they need to stop and refuel, which can only be done at a small number of locations
- How can we solve these optimization problems for electric and alternative-fuel vehicles with fixed refueling locations?

The electric vehicle shortest walk problem

Electric vehicle shortest walk problem

- Suppose we wanted to find the route an electric vehicle should take from an origin to a destination
- The route must include where to stop to recharge the battery
- Can't assume the shortest unconstrained path will have sufficient stops on the way
- Not necessarily a "path" since may have to traverse edges multiple times
- We may want to limit the number of stops to a certain number because they are frustrating
- How do we find this shortest walk? Can it be done in polynomial time?

Brief Lit Review

- Ichimori first analyzed this problem in 1981, didn't account for limiting the amount of times the vehicles stops
- We assume distance traveled and time are proportional, other people (Smith et al. 2012, Laporte & Pascoal 2011) analyzed the case where they are not
- Most modeling of where to locate charging stations (e.g., Kuby et al. 2005) assume no detouring

Example Problem



Objective is to get from s to t while stopping at most 2 times to charge the battery

Spanning tree from *s*



We can pre-calculate which charging stations are reachable from the start point

Spanning tree from *e*

We can also calculate which charging stations are reachable from each other, and which can reach the terminal vertex

Metanetwork

- With all of those shortest paths, we can make a new metanetwork
- The nodes in the meta-network have an edge if the vertices can be reached in a single charge in the original graph
- The shortest path in this graph corresponds to the shortest walk in the original graph without a stop limit

Stop limited metanetwork

- If there is a stop limit of *p*, then a graph with *p* + 2 copies of the meta-network vertices should be generated
- An edge between

 (x_i^l, x_j^{l+1}) exists if
 there is an edge (x_i, x_j)
 in meta-network,
 edges have the same
 cost
- (t^{l}, t^{l+1}) edges exist with o cost
- Polynomial time to get the shortest path

Shortest anxiety walk

- A minimum anxiety walk minimizes the maximal path length between charging stations
- This generates the same meta-network (and multi-level metanetwork), only now a modified Dijkstra's Algorithm needed to find best path

Results

- Tested on randomly generated data
- Runtime grows polynomially with the number of stations (as expected through complexity analysis)

Extensions

- What if the arc lengths are stochastic? Each edge has a known distribution and random outcome is selected each time it is traversed
- Now the walk may to be altered during the traversal depending on the realization
- Driver's appetite for risk needs to be incorporated in the model as well
- Can be modeled as a Markov decision process where the set of actions is limited to those that are sufficiently risk adverse

Online routing and battery reservations for electric vehicles in a network with battery exchanges Battery exchange stations

Source: Better Place

The steps in a routing and reservation system

Car turns on

Destination is inputted

The system plans a route

When driver accepts, batteries are reserved at stations

DRIVE!

Source: Tesla, Google

Problem statement Once the battery exchange system is in place...

- If driver wants to make a trip given a current set of available batteries at stations, which route should they take?
- How do you route vehicles to minimize overall travel times?
- This depends on future arrivals into the system

Lit Review

- de Weert et al. (2013) routed multiple electric vehicles based on future demand, but didn't optimize globally
- Mak (2012) determined optimal routes for stochastic EV demand, but there was no online component
- Worley & Klabjan (2011) modeled when to recharge a station given stochastic demand, but had no network routing component

Station information

Network EV routing

Objective

- A vehicle arriving at time t spends
 - ψ_t^{drive} time units driving
 - $\psi_{t,i}^{swap}$ time units swapping batteries at station *i*
 - $\psi_{t,i}^{wait}$ time units waiting at station *i*
- And $\psi_t^{optimal}$ is the optimal time to travel between the OD pairs that the vehicle at time t
- The total delay for the vehicle arriving at time t is $\psi_t = \psi_t^{drive} + \rho_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \psi_{t,i}^{swap} + \rho_2 \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \psi_{t,i}^{wait} - \psi_t^{optimal}$
- To find a routing policy that minimizes the total delay $\mathbb{E}[\psi_0 + \mathbb{E}[\psi_1 + \mathbb{E}[\psi_2 + \cdots]]]$

A Markov chance decision system

27

Approximate Dynamic Programming

Approximate dynamic programming

- Approximate the value of being in state S at time t as $\overline{V}_t^{m-1}(S)$.
- Run a simulation of the vehicles arriving $(j_0^m, j_1^m, \dots, j_T^m)$
- Compute $\hat{v}_{t}^{m} = \min_{\substack{S_{t+1} \in Y(S_{t}^{m}, j_{t}^{m}) \\ Set \ \overline{V}_{t}^{m}(S_{t}) = \begin{cases} (1 \alpha_{m}) \overline{V}_{t}^{m-1}(S_{t}) + \alpha_{m} \hat{v}_{t}^{m} & S_{t} = S_{t}^{m} \\ \overline{V}_{t}^{m-1}(S_{t}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$
- Repeat for m = m + 1

Temporal differencing

- Define $\delta_{\tau}^{m} = C(S_{\tau}^{m}, j_{\tau}^{m}, S_{\tau+1}^{m}) + \overline{V}_{\tau+1}^{m-1}(S_{\tau+1}^{m}|j_{\tau}) \overline{V}_{\tau}^{m-1}(S_{\tau}^{m}|j_{\tau-1}^{m})$ for $\tau = t \dots T$
- Instead set $\overline{V}_t^m(S_t) = \begin{cases} \overline{V}_t^{m-1}(S_t^m) + \alpha_m \sum_{\tau=t}^T \lambda^{T-\tau} \delta_\tau^m & S_t = S_t^m \\ \overline{V}_t^{m-1}(S_t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Approximate dynamic programming

Simulate the drivers...

Linear value function approximation

- Still need to define $\overline{V}_t^m(S)$ for each S (and there are many!)
- Instead let: $\overline{V}_t^m(S) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \theta_{tf}^m \phi_f^m(S)$, now goal is to find best θ_{tf}^m
- Approximation functions are:
 - For station b_i having n_i batteries: for each value $q = 1, ..., n_i$, the define function ϕ_{iq} which maps state $S \in S$ to the number of time periods in which station b_i has at least q batteries reserved.
- Only need one set of coefficients for all time since basis functions naturally decrease as time progresses, so $\theta_f^m = \theta_{tf}^m$ for all t

Simplify using linear functions

One last complication

- We know where the costs are being incurred (either from waiting at a particular station or a longer route)
- We don't want stations to be penalized for vehicles waiting at other stations
- So let $\overline{V}_t^{m,1}(S_t)$ be the cost from a longer path and swap times and let $\overline{V}_{t,i}^{m,2}(S_t)$ be the cost from having to wait at a particular station *i*
- $\overline{V}_t^m(S_t) = \overline{V}_t^{m,1}(S_t) + \sum_{i=1}^{\beta} \overline{V}_{t,i}^{m,2}(S_t)$
- And the costs can be calculated directly for more accurate approximations

Results tested on Arizona network

- Arizona road network used
- Arrival probability of vehicles adjusted over several runs
- Compared to greedy policy (cars always act in best interest)
- Up to 23% shorter delays on average

Extensions

• How do you adjust the problem to:

- Handle non-constant demand throughout the day?
- Have vehicles drop off batteries that are not empty?
- Start the route with a not full battery?

Contributions

- Formulated a new model for minimizing travel times globally for a set of electric vehicles in a stochastic online setting
- Found a method for finding good policies based on Markov chance-decision processes and approximate dynamic programming
- Tested the method on Arizona highway data and got a 23% improvement compared to greedy routing

Future work

- **1**. EV shortest walk problem
 - Allow for stochastic cases with learning
 - Improve speed of stochastic case
- 2. Online EV routing and reservations
 - Add stochastic arc lengths and surprise arrivals
 - Improve ADP results

Questions?

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant #1234584 as well as the U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration through the Dwight David Eisenhower Transportation Fellowship Program. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsor organizations.